

Head swivel on the ribosome facilitates translocation via intra-subunit tRNA hybrid sites

Andreas H. Ratje^{1,2}, Justus Loerke¹, Aleksandra Mikolajka^{3,4}, Matthias Brünner¹, Peter W. Hildebrand¹, Agata L. Starosta³, Alexandra Dönhöfer³, Sean R. Connell⁵, Paola Fucini⁵, Thorsten Mielke^{1,6}, Paul C. Whitford⁷, Jose' N Onuchic⁸, Yanan Yu⁹, Karissa Y. Sanbonmatsu⁷, Roland K. Hartmann², Pawel A. Penczek¹⁰, Daniel N. Wilson^{3,4*}, and Christian M.T. Spahn^{1*}

¹ *Institut für Medizinische Physik und Biophysik, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Ziegelstrasse 5-9, 10117-Berlin, Germany*

² *Institut für Pharmazeutische Chemie, Philipps-Universität Marburg, Germany*

³ *Gene Center and Department of Biochemistry, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Feodor-Lynenstr. 25, 81377 München, Germany*

⁴ *Center for Integrated Protein Science, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Germany*

⁵ *Frankfurt Institute for Molecular Life Sciences, Institute of Organic Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Goethe University Frankfurt, Max-von Laue-Str. 7, D-60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany*

⁶ *UltraStrukturNetzwerk, Max Planck Institute for Molecular Genetics, Berlin, Germany*

⁷ *Theoretical Biology and Biophysics Group, Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, USA*

⁸ *Center for Theoretical Biological Physics and Department of Physics, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA.*

⁹ *Florida State University, Dept. Computer Science, Tallahassee, Florida 32306,*

¹⁰ *The University of Texas – Houston Medical School, 6431 Fannin, Houston, TX 77030, USA*

* Corresponding Authors:

Christian M.T. Spahn: Email: christian.spahn@charite.de
Tel: +49 (0)30 450 524131
Fax: +49 (0)30 450 524952

Daniel N. Wilson: Email: wilson@lmb.uni-muenchen.de
Tel: +49 (0)89 2180 76902
Fax: +49 (0)89 2180 76945

The elongation cycle of protein synthesis involves the delivery of aminoacyl-tRNAs to the A-site of the ribosome, followed by peptide-bond formation and translocation of the tRNAs through the ribosome to reopen the A-site^{1,2}. The translocation reaction is catalyzed by elongation factor G (EF-G) in a GTP-dependent fashion³. Despite the availability of structures of various EF-G-ribosome complexes, the precise mechanism by which tRNAs move through the ribosome still remains unclear. Here we use multiparticle cryo-EM analysis to resolve two previously unseen subpopulations within EF-G-ribosome complexes at sub-nanometer resolution, one of them with a partially translocated tRNA. Comparison of these sub-states reveals that translocation of tRNA on the 30S subunit parallels the swiveling of the 30S-head and is coupled to un-ratcheting of the 30S-body. Since the tRNA maintains contact with the P-site on the 30S-head and simultaneously establishes interaction with the E-site on the 30S-platform, a novel intra-subunit pe/E hybrid state is formed. This state is stabilized by domain IV of EF-G, which interacts with the swiveled 30S-head conformation. These findings provide direct structural and mechanistic insight into the “missing link” in terms of tRNA intermediates involved in the universally conserved translocation process.

Following peptide-bond formation, pre-translocational (PRE) ribosomes carry a peptidyl-tRNA at the A-site and a deacylated-tRNA at the P-site^{1,3,4}. This is a highly dynamic state of the ribosome, which fluctuates between classical states with A- and P-tRNAs and hybrid states with A/P- (A/P denotes that the tRNA is in the A-site on the 30S and the P-site on the 50S subunit) and P/E-tRNAs⁵⁻⁸. Hybrid state formation is coupled to spontaneous rotation of the 30S subunit relative to the 50S subunit⁹⁻¹¹ and is stabilized by binding of EF-G¹²⁻¹⁴. The EF-G/eEF2 induced ratchet-like subunit

rearrangement also includes a swivel movement of the head that is approximately orthogonal to the intersubunit rotation of the ribosomal subunits¹⁴⁻¹⁶. EF-G catalyses translocation of the hybrid state tRNAs on the 30S subunit to form a post-translocational (POST) state ribosome with tRNAs located at classical P- and E-sites. The translocation process is accelerated by the GTPase activity of EF-G stimulated by the ribosome^{17,18}. However, how tRNAs are translocated with respect to the 30S subunit and how the mRNA is advanced by one codon remains unclear.

Structural snapshots of the translocation process come from cryo-EM and X-ray analysis of EF-G bound to ribosome complexes^{12-14,19}. Despite considerable effort^{12,20-22}, no direct structural information is available for ribosomal PRE complexes containing simultaneously EF-G and an A-tRNA. It appears that this state is too dynamic and transient to be captured, resulting in either a POST state containing EF-G or a PRE state without EF-G bound^{20,22}. Indeed, the stable EF-G bound POST state determined by X-ray crystallography reveals a non-ratcheted ribosome with tRNAs in classical P/P- and E/E-sites¹⁹. Therefore, structural insights into intermediate states of translocation, i.e. ratcheted ribosomal EF-G complexes, have utilised complexes without an A-site peptidyl-tRNA¹²⁻¹⁴.

Here we used the antibiotic fusidic acid (FA) to stall EF-G on the 70S ribosome. FA allows GTP hydrolysis by EF-G, but prevents the associated changes in EF-G that normally accompany hydrolysis¹⁹. After complex formation and cryo-EM data collection, we employed multiparticle refinement in order to resolve the heterogeneity of the data (**supp. Fig. S1**). In the first phase of multiparticle refinement a major population of particle images of ribosomes containing EF-G was obtained that yielded a structure in a ratcheted conformation. As refinement progressed to higher resolution the presence of intrinsic conformational heterogeneity necessitated a second phase of multiparticle refinement resulting in two final reconstructions of the 70S•EF-

G•GDP•FA complex (**Fig. 1**), at resolutions of 7.6 Å and 7.8 Å (0.5 FSC criterion), respectively (**supp. Fig. S2**). Both maps have density attributed to EF-G, but show significant conformational differences (**Supp. Mov 1,2**): Specifically, the sub-states are distinguished by different degrees of subunit ratcheting, positioning of the L1 protuberance, as well as by the swivel movement of the head of the 30S subunit relative to the body/platform (**Fig. 1e, f; supp. Figs. S3, S4; supp. Table 1**). Unlike previous cryo-EM and X-ray structures of ribosome•EF-G complexes, movement of the head and body/platform of the 30S subunit is uncoupled: In sub-state I, the 30S subunit is ratcheted by $\sim 7^\circ$ relative to the 50S subunit, but there is only a modest $\sim 5^\circ$ swiveling of the 30S head. In contrast, the 30S in sub-state II is only ratcheted by $\sim 4^\circ$, but there is a very large $\sim 18^\circ$ swivel of the head (**supp. Table 1**).

The identification of two different ratcheted sub-states within the 70S•EF-G•GDP•FA complex prompted us to investigate whether such intrinsic heterogeneity also exists in our previous 70S•EF-G•GMPPNP cryo-EM dataset¹⁴. Additional multiparticle refinement indeed revealed that the 70S•EF-G•GMPPNP complex could be subdivided into two sub-states that appeared to be equivalent to those identified in the 70S•EF-G•GDP•FA complex (**supp. Fig. S5; supp. Table 1**). Our findings here provide supportive evidence for the emerging energy landscape model that allows sampling of several metastable conformations for a defined ribosomal complex⁸. The sub-nanometer resolution of the 70S•EF-G•GDP•FA subpopulations enabled visualization of secondary structure and thus molecular models to be generated (**Fig. 1**) by applying our newly developed MDFIT algorithm (see methods). Comparison with available structures reveals that sub-state I is similar in conformation to the ratcheted sub-state of the PRE complex^{9,10} (**supp. Table 1**) and also that the tRNA is bound in a hybrid P/E site (**Fig. 2a**). Therefore we consider sub-state I to be related to a pre-translocational intermediate (TI^{PRE}).

In contrast, sub-state II of the 70S•EF-G•GDP•FA complex represents a novel conformational state of the 70S ribosome. Interestingly, the anticodon stem-loop (ASL) of the tRNA has moved by 8 - 10 Å compared to the P/E position of the TI^{PRE} as it maintains strong association with the P-site components of the head and follows the large 18° swivel movement of the head (**Fig. 2b; Supp. Mov 3**). Because the tRNA interacts simultaneously with P-site components of the head as well as E-site components of the platform, it can be thought of as a 30S intra-subunit hybrid site (**Fig. 2b**). Moreover, since the contacts of the CCA-end of the tRNA with the E-site on the 50S subunit remain unaffected, we extend the previous nomenclature of hybrid sites⁵ and refer to this newly identified state as a pe/E hybrid state (P-site on head and E-site on platform of 30S / E-site on 50S).

Interestingly, the ASL of the pe/E-tRNA together with the bound mRNA codon is very close to the position of a fully translocated E/E-tRNA. (**Fig. 2c; Supp. Mov 4**). Apparently, head-swiveling coupled with partial un-ratcheting of the body/platform of the 30S subunit leads to tRNA translocation, suggesting that sub-state II of the 70S•EF-G•GDP•FA complex is related to a post-translocational intermediate state (TI^{POST}). We note that although the intermediate states visualized here contain only one tRNA, a second tRNA (ap/P) can be superimposed into the TI^{POST} state without steric interference with the binding position of EF-G (**supp. Fig. S6**). Thus the structures presented here appear to be valid models for translocation intermediates (**see also supplemental Information for further discussion**). Nevertheless, structures of translocation intermediates with two tRNAs will be necessary to validate these predictions. Intra-subunit hybrid states allow the 30S subunit to maintain partial contacts with the tRNAs at any time of the translocation reaction. The un-ratcheting motion produces an on-coming movement of the body/platform, thereby reducing the distance that the tRNAs have to travel during translocation.

Whereas the P/E- and pe/E-tRNA are in a twisted conformation (**Fig. 2d**), the overall conformation of EF-G in the TI^{PRE} and TI^{POST} is remarkably similar to each other (**Fig. 1**) and to that observed in the cryo-EM reconstruction of the 70S•EF-G•GMPPNP¹⁴ as well as in the recent X-ray structure of EF-G•GDP•FA bound to a POST state ribosome¹⁹ (**Fig. 3a**). However, an interesting difference between the two EF-G•GDP•FA sub-states relates to the interaction patterns of domain IV of EF-G: In the TI^{PRE} state, domain IV does not appear to interact significantly with the ribosome (**Fig. 3b**), whereas a small shift in the binding position of EF-G and the large swivel of the head facilitate a more extensive interaction of domain IV of EF-G with h34 of the 16S rRNA in the TI^{POST} state (**Fig. 3c**). h34, together with the 530 region of 16S rRNA, form the so-called latch of the mRNA entry channel. Because of the head-swivel in the TI^{POST} state, h34 has moved ~12 Å away from the 530 region, leading to an opening of the latch (**Fig. 3c**). This may facilitate movement of the mRNA-tRNA₂ complex. Consistent with this observation, transient protection of h34 by EF-G against chemical modification during the translocation reaction has been reported previously²³. Therefore, the direct interaction of domain IV of EF-G with h34 may bias the energy landscape of the ribosome towards the TI^{POST} .

So far, intermediate states of inter-subunit rotation were considered to be intermediates on the pathway to the fully rotated state¹⁶. In contrast, our findings implicate un-ratcheting (in combination with the large swivel of the 30S head), rather than ratcheting, as being coupled to the translocation movement of the tRNAs and the mRNA with respect to the 30S subunit. Collectively, the insights gained from the structures of the TI^{PRE} and TI^{POST} states enable us to provide a structural explanation for the process of translocation in a model where tRNA movements are facilitated by head-swivel, ratcheting and un-ratcheting motions of the ribosome (**Fig. 4**). In the context of

the ribosome functioning during translocation as a Brownian ratchet machine²⁴, our model suggests that EF-G acts as a dynamic pawl, decoupling the un-ratcheting motions of the ribosome from the transition of hybrid state tRNAs back into classical states. Thereby, EF-G provides directionality and accelerates translocation of the tRNAs *via* several intermediate inter- and intra-subunit hybrid states into the classical P/P and E/E sites of the POST state.

METHODS SUMMARY

Tight-couple 70S ribosomes from *T. thermophilus* were isolated by sucrose gradient centrifugation and incubated with EF-G in the presence of GTP and FA. Resulting complexes were flash frozen and imaged under low-dose conditions using an FEI – POLARA G2 electron microscope. The collected data were digitized and processed using multiparticle refinement protocols implemented in SPIDER²⁵. In order to interpret the resulting cryo-EM maps in molecular terms, a newly developed algorithm (MDFIT)²⁶ that integrates molecular simulation with experimental maps was employed.

Full Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper at www.nature.com/nature

REFERENCES

- ¹ Frank, J. and Spahn, C. M., The ribosome and the mechanism of protein synthesis. *Rep Prog Phys* **69**, 1383 (2006).
- ² Schmeing, T. M. and Ramakrishnan, V., What recent ribosome structures have revealed about the mechanism of translation. *Nature* **461**, 1234 (2009).
- ³ Shoji, S., Walker, S. E., and Fredrick, K., Ribosomal Translocation: One Step Closer to the Molecular Mechanism. *ACS Chem Biol* (2009).
- ⁴ Ramakrishnan, V., Ribosome structure and the mechanism of translation. *Cell* **108**, 557 (2002).
- ⁵ Moazed, D. and Noller, H.F., Intermediate states in the movement of transfer RNA in the ribosome. *Nature* **342**, 142 (1989).
- ⁶ Munro, J. B., Altman, R. B., O'Connor, N., and Blanchard, S. C., Identification of two distinct hybrid state intermediates on the ribosome. *Mol Cell* **25**, 505 (2007).
- ⁷ Blanchard, S. C. et al., tRNA dynamics on the ribosome during translation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **101**, 12893 (2004).
- ⁸ Munro, J. B., Sanbonmatsu, K. Y., Spahn, C. M., and Blanchard, S. C., Navigating the ribosome's metastable energy landscape. *Trends Biochem Sci* **34**, 390 (2009).
- ⁹ Agirrezabala, X. et al., Visualization of the hybrid state of tRNA binding promoted by spontaneous ratcheting of the ribosome. *Mol Cell* **32**, 190 (2008).
- ¹⁰ Julian, P. et al., Structure of ratcheted ribosomes with tRNAs in hybrid states. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**, 16924 (2008).
- ¹¹ Fischer, N. et al., Ribosome dynamics and tRNA movement by time-resolved electron cryomicroscopy. *Nature* **466**, 329 (2010).
- ¹² Valle, M. et al., Locking and unlocking of ribosomal motions. *Cell* **114**, 123 (2003).
- ¹³ Frank, J. and Agrawal, R.K., A ratchet-like inter-subunit reorganization of the ribosome during translocation. *Nature* **406**, 318 (2000).
- ¹⁴ Connell, S. R. et al., Structural basis for interaction of the ribosome with the switch regions of GTP-bound elongation factors. *Mol Cell* **25**, 751 (2007).
- ¹⁵ Spahn, C. M. et al., Domain movements of elongation factor eEF2 and the eukaryotic 80S ribosome facilitate tRNA translocation. *EMBO J* **23**, 1008 (2004).
- ¹⁶ Zhang, W., Dunkle, J. A., and Cate, J. H., Structures of the ribosome in intermediate states of ratcheting. *Science* **325**, 1014 (2009).

- ¹⁷ Rodnina, M., Savelsbergh, A., Katunin, V.I., and Wintermeyer, W., Hydrolysis of GTP by elongation factor G drives tRNA movement on the ribosome. *Nature* **385**, 37 (1997).
- ¹⁸ Savelsbergh, A. et al., An elongation factor G-induced ribosome rearrangement precedes tRNA-mRNA translocation. *Mol Cell* **11**, 1517 (2003).
- ¹⁹ Gao, Y. G. et al., The structure of the ribosome with elongation factor G trapped in the posttranslocational state. *Science* **326**, 694 (2009).
- ²⁰ Penczek, P. A., Frank, J., and Spahn, C. M., A method of focused classification, based on the bootstrap 3D variance analysis, and its application to EF-G-dependent translocation. *J Struct Biol* **154**, 184 (2006).
- ²¹ Agrawal, R.K. et al., EF-G-dependent GTP hydrolysis induces translocation accompanied by large conformational changes in the 70S ribosome. *Nature Struct.Biology.* **6**, 643 (1999).
- ²² Scheres, S. H. et al., Disentangling conformational states of macromolecules in 3D-EM through likelihood optimization. *Nat Methods* **4**, 27 (2007).
- ²³ Matassova, A. B., Rodnina, M. V., and Wintermeyer, W., Elongation factor G-induced structural change in helix 34 of 16S rRNA related to translocation on the ribosome. *Rna* **7**, 1879 (2001).
- ²⁴ Spirin, A. S., The ribosome as a conveying thermal ratchet machine. *J Biol Chem* **284**, 21103 (2009).
- ²⁵ Schuette, J. C. et al., GTPase activation of elongation factor EF-Tu by the ribosome during decoding. *EMBO J* **28**, 755 (2009).
- ²⁶ Whitford, P. C. et al., Accommodation of aminoacyl-tRNA into the ribosome involves reversible excursions along multiple pathways. *RNA* (2010).
- ²⁷ Ticu, C. et al., Conformational changes in switch I of EF-G drive its directional cycling on and off the ribosome. *EMBO J* **28**, 2053 (2009).

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on www.nature.com/nature.

Acknowledgments

The present work was supported by grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft DFG (SFB 740 TP A3 and TP Z1, SP 1130/2-1 to C.M.T.S., FU579 1-3 to P.F., HA 1672/7-5 to R.K.H. and WI3285/1-1 to D.N.W.), the European Union 3D-EM Network of Excellence (to CMTS), the European Union and Senatsverwaltung für Wissenschaft, Forschung und Kultur Berlin (UltraStructureNetwork, Anwenderzentrum) and US NIH Grant GM 60635 (to PAP), the Cluster of excellence “Macromolecular complexes” at the Goethe University Frankfurt (DFG Project EXC 115 to P.F. and S.C.), and the HFSP Young Investigators Award HFSP67/07 (to P.F.). We thank the New Mexico Computing Application Center for generous time on the Encanto Supercomputer. PCW is currently funded by a LANL Director’s Fellowship. This work was also supported by the Center for Theoretical Biological Physics sponsored by the NSF (Grant PHY-0822283) with additional support from NSF- MCB-0543906, the LANL LDRD program and NIH Grant R01-GM072686.

Author Information

The electron density maps and models of the TI^{PRE} and the TI^{POST} complexes have been deposited in the 3D-EM and PDB databases with the accession numbers EMD-XXXX and PDBID XXXX, respectively. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.M.T.S. (christian.spahn@charite.de) and D.N.W. (wilson@lmb.uni-muenchen.de).

Figure legends

Fig. 1: Sub-states I (TI^{PRE}) and II (TI^{POST}) of the 70S•EF-G•GDP•FA complex

a, b, c, d, The cryo-EM maps of sub-state I (TI^{PRE}) (a, b) and sub-state II (TI^{POST}) (c, d) of the 70S•EF-G•GDP•FA complex are shown as mesh with docked models in ribbons representation: EF-G (red), tRNA (green), 23S/5S rRNA (blue), 50S ribosomal proteins (orange), 16S rRNA (yellow), and the 30S ribosomal proteins (magenta). The maps are shown from the 30S side with the 30S subunit computationally removed (a, c) and from the 50S side with the 50S subunit computationally removed (b, d). **e, f,** The 30S subunit of TI^{PRE} (e) and TI^{POST} (f) (yellow ribbons) is compared with the 30S subunit of the POST state¹⁹ (grey ribbons) by aligning the respective 50S subunits. Arrows with numbers indicate the direction and magnitude (**supp. Table 1**) of the inter-subunit rotation and the head swivel from the un-rotated state to TI^{PRE} or TI^{POST} , respectively.

Fig. 2: Localization and conformation of the tRNA of sub-states I (TI^{PRE}) and II (TI^{POST})

a, b, Close-up of the tRNA binding regions of the 30S subunit of TI^{PRE} (a) and TI^{POST} (b). The 30S subunit is shown with yellow ribbons, the tRNAs as blue ribbons and ribosomal residues that contact tRNAs in A-, P- and E-sites as spheres coloured magenta, green and orange, respectively.

c, In a common 50S alignment, the P/E-tRNA (green ribbon) of TI^{PRE} and the pe/E-tRNA (magenta ribbon) of TI^{POST} together with their respective mRNA codons are compared to the positions of the tRNAs in classical A, P and E sites and the mRNA (grey ribbons).

d, Density for the tRNAs (wire-mesh) with molecular models for the P/E-tRNA of Tl^{PRE} (left, green ribbon) and the pe/E tRNA of Tl^{POST} (middle, magenta ribbon). On the right, the model for the P/E-tRNA (green), that is essentially the same as the pe/E-tRNA model (RMSD = 1.5 Å), is compared to a classical P-tRNA (blue) and a A/T-tRNA (yellow) by aligning the acceptor stem, D- and T-stem loops.

Fig. 3: EF-G stabilizes the swiveled head movement in the Tl^{POST} state

a, Comparison of the position of FA-stalled EF-G and the 30S subunit between Tl^{POST} and the POST state $70S \cdot EF-G \cdot GDP \cdot FA^{19}$. All shown components of the POST state $70S \cdot EF-G \cdot GDP \cdot FA^{19}$ are depicted as blue ribbons. The 30S, EF-G and pe/E-tRNA of the Tl^{POST} are represented by yellow, red and orange ribbons, respectively.

b, c, Close-up on the decoding region and domain IV of EF-G in the same orientation as in (a). The surfaces of Tl^{PRE} (b) and Tl^{POST} (c) are transparent with molecular models in ribbons representation (30S subunit, yellow; EF-G, red, P/E-tRNA, green and pe/E-tRNA, orange). The arrows mark the closed latch between h34 and the 530 region of Tl^{PRE} (b) and the interaction between h34 and domain IV of EF-G within Tl^{POST} .

Fig. 4: Model for translocation

A model for tRNA translocation is shown where the upper row highlights the rotational movements of the 30S subunit and in the lower row a schematic of ribosomal inter- and intra-subunit tRNA hybrid states is shown.

a, b, Post-peptide bond formation, the PRE ribosome exists in a dynamic equilibrium between a base state with classical A/A- and P/P-tRNAs (a) and a rotated state (b) with hybrid A/P- and P/E-tRNAs^{6,7,9-11}.

c, Binding of EF-G•GTP to (a) PRE- or (b) hybrid-states stabilizes the ratcheted state¹² as observed in the TI^{PRE} via a network of interactions with the ratcheted 30S subunit, the reoriented domain III of EF-G, the ordered switch I region of EF-G, and the γ -phosphate of GTP¹⁴.

d, Fast GTP hydrolysis by EF-G¹⁷ accelerates translocation via an unlocking step on the 30S subunit¹⁸. GTP hydrolysis destabilizes the direct and indirect interactions of the switch I of EF-G with the maximally rotated 30S subunit^{14,27}, and therefore increases the propensity of the 30S subunit to rotate backwards. The presence of domain IV of EF-G uncouples un-ratcheting from the reverse movement of the A/P- and P/E-tRNAs back into classical states i.e. a doorstop function. Through head-swiveling and un-ratcheting motion, the tRNAs move from aa/P and pp/E into the 30S intra-subunit ap/P and pe/E hybrid states. Because the structure of the TI^{POST} shows that the 30S-head moves together with the tRNAs, unlocking must be a consequence of weakening of the interactions that link the tRNA₂-mRNA complex to the 30S-body. Furthermore, unlocking might involve latch opening as seen in the TI^{POST} .

e, Completion of the un-ratcheting of the 30S subunit leads to the POST state 70S•EF-G complex¹⁹. The tRNAs must disengage from the 30S-head, allowing a back-swiveling movement of the 30S-head that can now occur independently of the tRNA, thus re-establishing the tRNAs in the classical (pp/P) P- and E- (ee/E) states. Translocation is completed by dissociation of EF-G•GDP, which changes conformation back to the closed conformation of the solution state.

METHODS

Formation of the EF-G•70S•GDP•FA complex

The *fusA* gene, encoding EF-G, was cloned from *Thermus thermophilus* HB8 genomic DNA into pET-46 Ek/LIC vector using primers (TthEFG_ for 5' GCG CGC CCG GTG GTG ATG CAG CTC TTC CTG GGC TCC GCC CTG AAG AAC 3'; TthEFG_rev 5' GTT CTT CAG GGC GGA GCC CAG GAA GAG CTG CAT CAC CAC CGG GCG CGC 3') according to manufacturer's instructions (Novagen) and expressed in BL21 (DE3) cells. Recombinant EF-G protein was then purified using Ni-NTA affinity column, followed by gel filtration in a buffer containing 10 mM Tris pH 7.8, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM β -mercaptoethanol. Tight-coupled 70S ribosomes were purified from log-phase *T. thermophilus* cells using sucrose density gradient centrifugation, as described previously for 30S subunits²⁸. As observed previously, the ribosomes contained an co-purified tRNA^{14,29}. Binding of EF-G to 70S ribosomes was done by incubating 20 μ M of purified EF-G protein with 5 μ M *T. thermophilus* 70S ribosomes, 500 μ M GTP, 500 μ M fusidic acid (FA), for 15 min at 65°C, in a buffer containing 10 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.8), 30 mM MgCl₂, 75 mM NH₄Cl. The occupancy of EF-G in the complexes was about 60-70 %, as judged by centrifugal binding assay²⁸.

Cryo-EM and image processing

Ribosomal complexes were diluted to a concentration of 30 nM and subsequently frozen onto Quantifoil grids using a Vitrobot (FEI) device. Micrographs were collected on a Tecnai G2 Polara (FEI) at 300 kV and 39,000x magnification under low dose conditions (19 e⁻/Å²) and scanned on a D8200 Primscan drum scanner (Heidelberger Druckmaschinen) with a step size of 4.758 μ m corresponding to 1.26 Å on the specimen scale.

The Contrast Transfer Function (CTF) defocus values for the micrographs were determined with CTFind³⁰. Ribosomal projection images were automatically identified using the program Signature³¹ and were subsequently screened visually or automatically. From the selected projections, a reconstruction was generated by projection matching procedures and refined using the SPIDER software package³². The complete data set comprised 586,848 projection images collected from 677 micrographs at a 1.3 – 4.8 μ m defocus range. During the later refinement rounds, positivity of the reference volumes was enforced, the power spectrum of the cryo-EM

map was scaled to the power spectrum of a model density derived from the atomic coordinates of the X-ray structure of the 70S ribosome³³ and the map was subsequently low-pass filtered according to the current resolution estimate.

After first phase of multiparticle refinement^{20,25,34,35} which was performed with 3-times or 2-times decimated pictures, we obtained a major subpopulation (52%; 303,665) that had strong EF-G density (**supp. Fig. S1**). However, as refinement progressed and the resolution reached the sub-nanometer range the data set was deemed heterogeneous. Parts of the 30S subunit, especially the head domain, became partially disordered. Therefore, a second phase of multiparticle refinement was employed, leading to the subdivision of the data into two further sub-states having EF-G (**supp. Fig. S1**). Both data sub-sets were further refined individually at full image size. The final reconstructions of sub-state I (113,214 particle images) and sub-state II (156,332 particle images) reached 7.8 Å and 7.6 Å resolution, respectively (**Supp. Fig. S2**).

Using a similar strategy we revisited the previous data set (362,361 particle images; 371 micrographs) of the 70S•EF-G•GMPPNP complex¹⁴. In first phase of multiparticle refinement a major population (118,991 particle images) was further sorted by a second phase of multiparticle refinement resulting into substate I (58,911 particle images) and substate II (38,055 particle images). The resolution of the maps was 9.6 Å and 10.5 Å, respectively. As the resolution for the reconstructions of the 70S•EF-G•GMPPNP complex was significant lower (due to the smaller size dataset) than the resolutions obtained for the 70S•EF-G•GDP•FA complex, we restricted the comparison to a dissection of only the global conformational changes, such as ratcheting and head swiveling.

Nevertheless, this analysis suggests that the 70S•EF-G•GMPPNP complex co-exists in two sub-states that resemble TI^{PRE} and TI^{POST} of the 70S•EF-G•GDP•FA complex (**supp. Fig. S5; supp. Table 1**). Interestingly, the ratio of particles within each of the two sub-states of the 70S•EF-G•GMPPNP complex is inverted with respect to 70S•EF-G•GDP•FA complex, i.e. in the 70S•EF-G•GMPPNP complex, the particle ratio of TI^{PRE} and TI^{POST} is ~3:2 (58,911:38,055), whereas in the 70S•EF-G•GDP•FA complex, the ratio is ~2:3 (113,214:156,332). This means that the majority of ribosomes in the 70S•EF-G complex stalled using a non-hydrolyzable GTP analogue are in TI^{PRE} (sub-state I), having a fully ratcheted 30S subunit, but only a modest head swivel. However, in the 70S•EF-G complex stalled using GTP and fusidic acid (FA), TI^{POST} (sub-state II) dominates and here an intermediate inter-subunit rotation is coupled with a large head swivel instead (**supp. Fig. S4, S5; supp. Table 1**).

Structure-based simulation fitting (MDFIT)

To determine atomic models that are consistent with the cryo-EM densities, we employed structure-based molecular simulation^{26,36,37} together with an energetic term developed by Orzechowski and Tama³⁸, which incorporates the correlation between the simulated and experimental electron density throughout the simulation. Tama and co-workers developed a similar method, which used a standard explicit solvent force field, as opposed to the structure-based force field. The advantage of the structure-based force field is that, because the potential energy function is defined by the x-ray structure, MDFIT retains tertiary contacts present in the x-ray structure without special constraints. Furthermore, since MDFIT explicitly includes all non-hydrogen atoms, there are no atomic clashes and proper stereochemistry is maintained in all fits.

We began the MDFIT procedure with a structure-based potential energy function defined by the classical unrelaxed conformation. To induce hybrid-state formation and subunit pivoting we introduced the energetic term based on the correlation between the simulated cryo-EM map and the experimentally determined cryo-EM map. Specifically, the potential energy function is:

$$V = V^{SB} + V^{map} = V^{SB} - W \sum_{ijk} \rho_{ijk}^{sim} \rho_{ijk}^{exp} \quad (1)$$

where W is the energetic weight of the map, ρ_{ijk}^{exp} and ρ_{ijk}^{sim} are the normalized experimental and simulated electron densities at voxel (i,j,k), respectively. The quantity V^{SB} is the structure-based potential energy function. To calculate the simulated map, each atom is described by a Gaussian function of width 5 Å with the tail truncated at 1 % of the peak value. Here, since the structure-based forcefield has 1 unit (all calculations were in reduced units) of stabilizing energy per atom (by construction), we set W to a comparable value of 150,000. The contributions to the force due to V^{map} were updated every 200 timesteps. Fitting simulations employed Langevin dynamics. All simulations were performed using code based on Gromacs version 4.0.5^{39,40}. Calculations were performed on the Encanto Supercomputer. The structure-based force field is freely available online (smog.ucsd.edu).

Structural Models:

The crystallographic structure of the 70S ribosome in complex with EF-G¹⁹ (PDB ID: 2WRI/J) was used as an initial structure for MDFIT. Proteins without side chains in the x-ray structure were removed. The C-terminal domain of ribosomal protein L7 from PDB entry





1RQU⁴¹ was inserted by hand, prior to fitting. The E-site tRNA was also removed from the initial x-ray structure in accordance with the cryo-EM map. The P-site tRNA was included in the fitting process. To facilitate fitting of the tRNA molecule into a P/E conformation, stabilizing interactions between the tRNA and the ribosome were removed. Stabilizing interactions between EF-G and the ribosome (due to their proximity in the crystal structure) were also excluded from the calculations, as were stabilizing interactions between L7 and all other components in the system. Further, crystallographic interactions found between the 3'-CCA end of the E-site tRNA with the E-site of the 50S subunit were re-introduced as short-ranged (of the type 6-12, see refs ^{26,36,37}) attractive interactions between the 3'-CCA end of the fitted-tRNA and the 50S E-site. Introducing these interactions ensured that the 3'-CCA end of the P/E tRNA was in a conformation identical to a classically-bound E-site tRNA. Though, since these interactions were short-ranged, they only affected the process once the major rearrangements in the tRNA were already achieved. Codon-anti-codon interactions were restrained by harmonic interactions with minima corresponding to the classical configuration.




The tRNA-ribosome-EF-G crystal structure was first manually aligned in VMD⁴², as a single rigid unit, to the map of the sub-state I (TI^{PRE}). The first round of fitting was performed using the sub-state I (TI^{PRE}) map after subjecting it to a 4 Å Gaussian low-pass filter. This filter reduced noise, effectively smoothing the energetic profile associated with V^{map} , which allowed for more rapid fits. After 10⁶ integration steps, the fit was continued for an additional 10⁶ steps with V^{map} based on the sub-state I (TI^{PRE}) map filtered at 2 Å. The sub-state II (TI^{POST}) map, filtered at 2 Å, was then fit using the sub-state I (TI^{PRE}) 4 Å fitted structure as the initial structure.

REFERENCES

- 28 Sharma, M. R. et al., Interaction of Era with the 30S ribosomal subunit implications for 30S subunit assembly. *Mol Cell* **18**, 319 (2005).
- 29 Yusupov, M.M. et al., Crystal structure of the ribosome at 5.5 Å resolution. *Science* **292**, 883 (2001).
- 30 Mindell, J. A. and Grigorieff, N., Accurate determination of local defocus and specimen tilt in electron microscopy. *J Struct Biol* **142**, 334 (2003).
- 31 Chen, J. Z. and Grigorieff, N., SIGNATURE: A single-particle selection system for molecular electron microscopy. *J Struct Biol* (2006).

- 32 Frank, J. et al., SPIDER and WEB: processing and visualization of images in 3D
electron microscopy and related fields. *Journal of Structural Biology* **116**, 190
(1996).
- 33 Selmer, M. et al., Structure of the 70S ribosome complexed with mRNA and
tRNA. *Science* **313**, 1935 (2006).
- 34 Spahn, C. M. and Penczek, P. A., Exploring conformational modes of
macromolecular assemblies by multiparticle cryo-EM. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* **19**,
623 (2009).
- 35 Connell, S. R. et al., A new tRNA intermediate revealed on the ribosome during
EF4-mediated back-translocation. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* (2008).
- 36 Whitford, P. C. et al., An all-atom structure-based potential for proteins:
bridging minimal models with all-atom empirical forcefields. *Proteins* **75**, 430
(2009).
- 37 Whitford, P. C. et al., Nonlocal helix formation is key to understanding S-
adenosylmethionine-1 riboswitch function. *Biophys J* **96**, L7 (2009).
- 38 Orzechowski, M. and Tama, F., Flexible fitting of high-resolution x-ray
structures into cryoelectron microscopy maps using biased molecular dynamics
simulations. *Biophys J* **95**, 5692 (2008).
- 39 Lindahl, E., Hess, B., and van der Spoel, D.J., GROMACS 3.0: A package for
molecular simulation and trajectory analysis. *J Mol Model* **7**, 306 (2001).
- 40 Berendsen, H.J.C., D.J., van der Spoel, and van Drunen, R., GROMACS-a
message-passing parallel molecular-dynamics implementation. *Comput Phys
Commun* **4**, 435 (1995).
- 41 Bocharov, E. V. et al., From structure and dynamics of protein L7/L12 to
molecular switching in ribosome. *J Biol Chem* **279**, 17697 (2004).
- 42 Humphrey, W., Dalke, A., and Schulten, K., VMD: visual molecular dynamics.
J Mol Graph **14**, 33 (1996).



a**b****c****d**